Enhanced group classification of Benjamin–Bona–Mahony–Burgers equations

Olena Vaneeva[†1], Severin Pošta[‡2] and Christodoulos Sophocleous[§3]

[†] *Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., 01601 Kyiv-4, Ukraine*

[‡] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, 13 Trojanova Str., 120 00 Prague, Czech Republic*

[§] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cyprus, Nicosia CY 1678, Cyprus*

E-mails: [1]*vaneeva@imath.kiev.ua*, [2]*severin.posta@fjfi.cvut.cz*, [3]*christod@ucy.ac.cy*

arXiv:1608.03941v2 [nlin.SI] 23 Sep 2016

A class of the Benjamin–Bona–Mahony–Burgers (BBMB) equations with time-dependent coefficients is investigated with the Lie symmetry point of view. The set of admissible transformations of the class is described exhaustively. The complete group classification is performed using the method of mapping between classes. The derived Lie symmetries are used to reduce BBMB equations to ordinary differential equations. Some exact solutions are constructed.

1 Introduction

The regularized long wave equation $u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0$ was proposed by Peregrine [12] and later by Benjamin et al. [2] to describe small-amplitude long waves on the surface of water in a channel. In order to take into account the mechanisms leading to the degradation of the wave the model including dissipative term u_{xx} was considered in [1], namely

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x - \nu u_{xx} - u_{xxt} = 0, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Here $u = u(x,t)$ is a real-valued function of the two real variables x and t, which, in applications, are typically proportional to distance in the direction of propagation and to elapsed time, respectively. The dependent variable may represent a displacement of the underlying medium or a velocity [1]. The regularized long wave equation with a Burgers-type dissipative term appended is more frequently called the Benjamin–Bona–Mahony–Burgers (BBMB) equation [9].

Last decade model equations with time-dependent coefficients are intensively studied with different points of view. This is due to the fact that they can model real phenomena with more accuracy taking into account parameters changing in time, like, for example, slightly varying depth of water or thickness of ice layer [8]. The most general form of the BBMB equation with time-dependent coefficients is

$$u_t + f(t)u_x + g(t)uu_x + k(t)u_{xx} + h(t)u_{xxt} = 0, \quad ghk \neq 0, \tag{1}$$

where f, g, h, and k are smooth functions of the variable t.

In this paper we aim to investigate this class with the Lie symmetry point of view, namely, to present the complete group classification of this class of equations. A similar study was initiated in [7] but the complete and correct group classification was not achieved therein. Lie symmetries and conservation laws of equations (1) without dissipative term (i.e. with $k = 0$) were thoroughly investigated in [17].

There are several reasons why it is important to have the complete and correct group classification of a class of nonlinear PDEs. Firstly, the classification list reveals all equations from the class under study which can be reduced to PDEs with fewer number of independent variables or even to ODEs. Then the powerful and, what is most important, algorithmic Lie reduction

method can be used to construct exact solutions [5,10,11]. Secondly, the equations admitting Lie symmetry extensions potentially are more interesting for applications [4]. There are also other advantages of Lie symmetries such as generation of new solutions from known ones, construction of conservation laws, etc. It should be emphasized that though there exist several computer algebra packages for computation of Lie symmetries, and finding of maximal Lie symmetry algebra of a certain fixed differential equation is a routine task which can be done automatically in majority of cases this is not the case for the group classification problem. Though there exist successful examples for some simple classes involving a few number of arbitrary elements, for the rest of cases the involvement of a researcher and recently developed tools of group analysis are needed.

We carry out the group classification of class (1) using the method of mapping between classes suggested in [16] and then successfully applied for several classes of variable coefficient PDEs, see, e.g., recent works [15,17]. When the complete group classification is achieved Lie reductions of BBMB equations to ODEs are performed as well as some exact solutions are constructed. It is understood that not all group-invariant solutions will fit the specific physical situation and respective boundary conditions, this problem, in particular, was discussed recently in [14]. Nevertheless, even "non-physical" exact solutions can be usefull, for example, for testing numerical methods.

2 Group classification

The statement of the group classification problem is formulated as follows: given a class of differential equations, the goal is to classify all possible cases of extension of Lie invariance algebras of such equations with respect to the equivalence group of the class [11].

Consider firstly the transformational properties of class (1). We look for the admissible point transformations (triples consisting of two equations from the class and a nondegenerate point transformations linking them [13]) using the direct method [6]. The proofs of the statements below are similar to those presented in [17] for equations (1) with $k = 0$. Thus, we skip the details of calculations for the sake of brevity and present the final results only.

All the admissible transformations in class (1) are generated by equivalence transformations and therefore this class is normalized in the usual sense. The following statement is true.

Theorem 1. *The usual equivalence group $G^{\sim}$ of class* (1) *consists of the transformations*

$$\tilde t = T(t), \quad \tilde x = \delta_1 x + \delta_2, \quad \tilde u = \delta_3 u + \delta_4,$$
$$\tilde k(\tilde t) = \frac{{\delta_1}^2}{T_t} k(t), \quad \tilde f(\tilde t) = \frac{\delta_1}{T_t \delta_3}(\delta_3 f(t) - \delta_4 g(t)), \quad \tilde g(\tilde t) = \frac{\delta_1}{T_t \delta_3} g(t), \quad \tilde h(\tilde t) = {\delta_1}^2 h(t),$$

where δ_j, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are arbitrary constants with $\delta_1\delta_3 \neq 0$ and $T = T(t)$ is an arbitrary smooth function with $T_t \neq 0$. Class (1) *is normalized in the usual sense.*

Using this theorem, we can formulate the criterion of reducibility of variable coefficient BBMB equations from class (1) to their constant coefficient counterparts:

A variable-coefficient equation from class (1) *is reduced to a constant-coefficient equation from the same class by a point transformation if and only if the corresponding coefficients f, g, h, and k satisfy the conditions*

$$(f/g)_t = h_t = k_t = 0.$$

i.e., k and h are constants and the function f is proportional to g.

We note that the maximal Lie invariance algebra $A^{\max}$ of the constant coefficient BBMB equation was found in [3]. It is two-dimensional Abelian algebra $\langle \partial_t, \partial_x \rangle$ spanned by the operators of time and space translations.

Table 1: The group classification of class (3) up to $G_1^{\sim}$-equivalence.

no.	$H(t)$	$K(t)$	$F(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
0	$\forall$	$\forall$	$\forall$	∂_x
1	εt^{ρ}	$\lambda t^{\rho-1}$	$\delta t^{\frac{\rho-4}{2}}$	$\partial_x,\ 2t\partial_t+\rho x\partial_x+(\rho-2)u\partial_u$
2	εe^{t}	λe^{t}	$\delta e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$	$\partial_x,\ 2\partial_t+x\partial_x+u\partial_u$
3	ε	λ	δ	$\partial_x,\ \partial_t$

Here ε, δ, λ, and ρ are arbitrary constants with $\varepsilon\lambda\neq 0$, $\varepsilon=\pm1 \bmod G_1^{\sim}$.
In Case 3 $\delta=0,1 \bmod G_1^{\sim}$ and additionally $\lambda=-1 \bmod G_1^{\sim}$ if $\delta=0$.

The presence of four arbitrary elements in class (1) leads to difficulties in solving the group classification problem. Therefore, we firstly simplify the problem by reducing the number of arbitrary elements in the class. This can be done either via gauging of arbitrary elements by equivalence transformations or using the method of mapping between classes (see [17] for the details). We choose the second option.

The family of point transformations

$$\tilde t=\int g(t)\mathrm{d}t,\quad \tilde x=x,\quad \tilde u=u+\frac{f(t)}{g(t)},\tag{2}$$

parameterized by two arbitrary elements of class (1), maps class (1) to the related class of variable coefficient BBMB equations with a forcing term

$$u_t+uu_x+K(t)u_{xx}+H(t)u_{xxt}=F(t),\quad HK\neq 0.\tag{3}$$

(Tildes in (3) are omitted.) The arbitrary elements of the initial class (1) and the imaged class (3) are related via the formulas

$$K(\tilde t)=\frac{k(t)}{g(t)},\quad H(\tilde t)=h(t),\quad F(\tilde t)=\frac{1}{g(t)}\left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}\right)_t.\tag{4}$$

Following the method of mapping between classes, we firstly classify Lie symmetries of the imaged class (3) and then use the family of point transformations (2) and the relations (4) to extend the result to the initial class (1).

In order to efficiently solve the group classification problem for class (3), we look for admissible transformations in this class using the direct method. Similarly to class (1) such transformations are exhausted by transformations from the usual equivalence group admitted by this class.

Theorem 2. *The usual equivalence group $G_1^{\sim}$ of class* (3) *comprises the transformations*

$$\tilde t=\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_3}t+\delta_0,\quad \tilde x=\delta_1x+\delta_2,\quad \tilde u=\delta_3u,$$
$$\tilde K(\tilde t)=\delta_1\delta_3K(t),\quad \tilde H(\tilde t)=\delta_1{}^2H(t),\quad \tilde F(\tilde t)=\frac{\delta_3{}^2}{\delta_1}F(t),$$

where δ_j, $j=0,1,2,3$, are arbitrary constants with $\delta_1\delta_3\neq 0$. Class (3) *is normalized in the usual sense.*

Further we use Lie infinitesimal invariance criterion and get the determining equations involving coefficients of symmetry generators Γ, arbitrary elements of the class and derivatives of both entities. Integration of those determining equations that do not involve arbitrary elements leads

Table 2: The group classification of class (1) up to $G^{\sim}$-equivalence.

no.	$h(t)$	$k(t)$	$f(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
0	$\forall$	$\forall$	$\forall$	∂_x
1	εt^{ρ}	$\lambda t^{\rho-1}$	$\delta t^{\frac{\rho-2}{2}}$	$\partial_x,\ 2t\partial_t+\rho x\partial_x+(\rho-2)u\partial_u$
2	εt^2	λt	$\delta\ln t$	$\partial_x,\ t\partial_t+x\partial_x-\delta\partial_u$
3	εe^t	λe^t	$\delta e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$	$\partial_x,\ 2\partial_t+x\partial_x+u\partial_u$
4	ε	λ	δt	$\partial_x,\ \partial_t-\delta\partial_u$

Here $g(t)=1 \bmod G^{\sim}$; ε, δ, λ, and ρ are arbitrary constants with $\varepsilon\lambda\neq 0$, $\varepsilon=\pm1 \bmod G^{\sim}$.
In Case 4 $\delta=0,1 \bmod G^{\sim}$ and additionally $\lambda=-1 \bmod G^{\sim}$ if $\delta=0$.

Table 3: The group classification of class (1) using no equivalence.

no.	$h(t)$	$k(t)$	$f(t)$	Basis of $A^{\max}$
0	$\forall$	$\forall$	$\forall$	∂_x
1	$\mu_1(\varepsilon T+\kappa)^{\rho}$	$\mu_2 g(\varepsilon T+\kappa)^{\rho-1}$	$\mu_3 g(\varepsilon T+\kappa)^{\frac{\rho-2}{2}}+\mu_4 g$	$\partial_x,\ \dfrac{2}{g}(\varepsilon T+\kappa)\partial_t+\varepsilon\rho x\partial_x+\varepsilon(\rho-2)(u+\mu_4)\partial_u$
2	$\mu_1(\varepsilon T+\kappa)^2$	$\mu_2 g(\varepsilon T+\kappa)$	$\mu_3 g\ln(\varepsilon T+\kappa)+\mu_4 g$	$\partial_x,\ \dfrac{1}{g}(\varepsilon T+\kappa)\partial_t+\varepsilon x\partial_x-\varepsilon\mu_3\partial_u$
3	$\mu_1\exp(\sigma T)$	$\mu_2 g\exp(\sigma T)$	$\mu_3 g\exp(\frac{1}{2}\sigma T)+\mu_4 g$	$\partial_x,\ \dfrac{2}{g}\partial_t+\sigma x\partial_x+\sigma(u+\mu_4)\partial_u$
4	μ_1	$\mu_2 g$	$\mu_3 gT+\mu_4 g$	$\partial_x,\ \dfrac{1}{g}\partial_t-\mu_3\partial_u$

Here g is an arbitrary nonvanishing smooth function, $T=\int g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$; $\varepsilon=\pm1$; μ_i, $i=1,\dots,4$, σ, κ and ρ are arbitrary constants with $\sigma\mu_1\mu_2\neq 0$.

Note 1. Any constant coefficient BBMB equation $u_t+fu_x+guu_x+ku_{xx}+hu_{txx}=0$ can be reduced to the equation $u_t+uu_x-u_{xx}+\varepsilon u_{txx}=0$, where $\varepsilon=\operatorname{sign}(h)$, (Case 4 of Table 2 with $\delta=0$ and $\lambda=-1$) by the transformation $\tilde t=-\dfrac{k}{|h|}t$, $\tilde x=\dfrac{x}{\sqrt{|h|}}$, $\tilde u=-\dfrac{\sqrt{|h|}}{k}(gu+f)$ from the group $G^{\sim}$.

to the general form of the infinitesimal generators $\Gamma = (c_1 t + c_0)\partial_t + (c_2 x + c_3)\partial_x + (c_2 - c_1)u\partial_u$, where c_i, $i = 0, \dots 3$, are arbitrary constants. Then the classifying equations involving arbitrary elements take the form

$$(c_1 t + c_0)H_t = 2c_2 H, \quad (c_1 t + c_0)K_t = (2c_2 - c_1)K, \quad (c_1 t + c_0)F_t = (c_2 - 2c_1)F.$$

We integrate these equations up to the $G_1^{\sim}$-equivalence and obtain the classification list. The results are summarized in Table 1.

The classification list for the initial class (1) can be obtained using the transformation (2), the relations (4) and the group classification results derived for the imaged class (3) (Table 1). The transformation (2) can be considered as a composition of the equivalence transformation $\tau^{\sim}$: $\tilde{t} = \int g(\bar{t})\mathrm{d}\bar{t}$, $\tilde{x} = \bar{x}$, $\tilde{u} = \bar{u}$ from the group $G^{\sim}$ and the transformation τ: $\bar{t} = t$, $\bar{x} = x$, $\bar{u} = u + \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}$ that does not belong to $G^{\sim}$. The transformation $\tau^{\sim}$ maps any equation from (1) to the equation from the same class with $g = 1$. In order to obtain the group classification for class (1) up to the $G^{\sim}$-equivalence it is enough to consider the transformation (2) with $g = 1$. Then the formulas (4) which connect arbitrary elements in classes (1) and (3) take the simple form $H = h$, $K = k$, $F = f_t$. We integrate the latter ODE for the values of F appearing in Table 1. We, respectively, get the following forms of $f = f(t)$:

1. $f = \bar{\delta} t^{\frac{\rho-2}{2}} + C$ $(\bar{\delta} = 2\delta/(\rho - 2))$, if $\rho \neq 2$ and $f = \delta \ln t + C$, otherwise;
2. $f = \bar{\delta} e^{\frac{1}{2}t} + C$ $(\bar{\delta} = 2\delta)$;
3. $f = \delta t + C$.

The integration constant $C = 0 \bmod G^{\sim}$. The last step is to perform the change of variable $\tilde{u} = u + f(t)$ in basis operators of the maximal Lie invariance algebras presented in Table 1. The results are summarized in Table 2.

We also derive the complete list of Lie symmetry extensions for the entire class (1), where arbitrary elements are not simplified by point transformations (Table 3). The group classification results reveal equations of the form (1) that may be of more interest for applications and for which the classical Lie reduction method can be used.

3 Reductions and exact solutions

The classes of BBMB equations (1) and (3) are similar with respect to the transformations (2). If one have exact solutions for equations (3) the similar solutions for equations (1) can easily be recovered using (2). That is why it is convenient to perform classification of Lie reductions for class (3), where the number of inequivalent cases of Lie symmetry extension is smaller.

To perform the classification of Lie reductions we need the optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras of the maximal Lie invariance algebras of the BBMB equations (3). Such algebras are at most two-dimensional. The optimal system of a two-dimensional Lie algebra $\langle X_1, X_2\rangle$ is $\{\langle X_1\rangle, \langle X_2\rangle\}$ if the algebra is non-Abelian and $\{\langle X_1\rangle, \langle X_2 + \alpha X_1\rangle\}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if it is Abelian. We have non-Abelian algebras in Case 1 with $\rho \neq 0$ and Case 2 of Table 1 and Abelian algebras in Case 1 with $\rho = 0$ and Case 3 of this table. We note that reductions with respect to subalgebra $\langle X_1 = \partial_x\rangle$ lead to trivial constant solutions. Therefore, we perform only the reductions with the second subalgebra. For each case we list the BBMB equation, the one-dimensional subalgebra, the Ansatz constructed with this subalgebra and the corresponding reduced ODE.

Case $1_{\rho\neq 0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t + uu_x + \lambda t^{\rho-1}u_{xx} + \varepsilon t^{\rho}u_{xxt} = \delta t^{\frac{\rho-4}{2}}, \\ &\langle 2t\partial_t + \rho x\partial_x + (\rho-2)u\partial_u\rangle, \quad u = t^{\frac{\rho}{2}-1}\varphi(\omega), \text{ where } \omega = xt^{-\frac{\rho}{2}}, \\ &\varepsilon\rho\,\omega\varphi''' + (\varepsilon(\rho+2) - 2\lambda)\varphi'' - 2\varphi\varphi' + \rho\,\omega\varphi' + (2-\rho)\varphi + 2\delta = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This ODE has particular exact solution $\varphi = \omega + \frac{2\delta}{\rho}$, which gives the "degenerate" solution $u = \dfrac{x}{t} + \dfrac{2\delta}{\rho} t^{\frac{\rho}{2}-1}$ of equation (5) with $\rho \neq 0$.

Case $1_{\rho=0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_t + uu_x + \lambda t^{-1} u_{xx} + \varepsilon u_{xxt} = \delta t^{-2}, \\
&\langle t\partial_t + \alpha\partial_x - u\partial_u \rangle, \quad u = \frac{1}{t}\varphi(\omega), \text{ where } \omega = x - \alpha \ln t, \\
&\varepsilon\alpha\varphi''' + (\varepsilon - \lambda)\varphi'' - \varphi\varphi' + \alpha\varphi' + \varphi + \delta = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}
$$

For $\alpha = -\delta$ this ODE has particular solution $\varphi = \omega + c$, where c is an arbitrary constant. This leads to the "degenerate" solution $u = \dfrac{1}{t}(x + \delta \ln t + c)$ of the equation (6).

Case 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_t + uu_x + \lambda e^t u_{xx} + \varepsilon e^t u_{xxt} = \delta e^{\frac{1}{2}t}, \\
&\langle 2\partial_t + x\partial_x + u\partial_u \rangle, \quad u = e^{\frac{t}{2}}\varphi(\omega), \text{ where } \omega = xe^{-\frac{t}{2}}, \\
&\varepsilon\omega\varphi''' + (\varepsilon - 2\lambda)\varphi'' - 2\varphi\varphi' + \omega\varphi' - \varphi + 2\delta = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

Case 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_t + uu_x + \lambda u_{xx} + \varepsilon u_{xxt} = \delta, \\
&\langle \partial_t + \alpha\partial_x \rangle, \quad u = \varphi(\omega), \text{ where } \omega = x - \alpha t, \\
&\varepsilon\alpha\varphi''' - \lambda\varphi'' - \varphi\varphi' + \alpha\varphi' + \delta = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

Up to the equivalence we can consider $\lambda = -1$. We found exact solutions for the case $\delta = 0$, these are $\varphi = -2\tanh\omega$, $\alpha = 0$; and

$$
\varphi = \pm\frac{1 - 12\varepsilon}{10\varepsilon} - \frac{12}{5}\tanh\omega \pm \frac{6}{5}\tanh^2\omega, \quad \alpha = \pm\frac{1}{10\varepsilon}.
$$

Thus, equation $u_t + uu_x - u_{xx} + \varepsilon u_{xxt} = 0$ admits the exact solutions $u = -2\tanh x$ and

$$
u = \pm\frac{1 - 12\varepsilon}{10\varepsilon} - \frac{12}{5}\tanh\left(x \pm \frac{t}{10\varepsilon}\right) \pm \frac{6}{5}\tanh^2\left(x \pm \frac{t}{10\varepsilon}\right).
$$

4 Conclusion and discussion

Using the method of mapping between classes we have presented the complete group classification of BBMB equations (1). As a by-product of this approach we also got the group classification of a related class of BBMB equations with a forcing term (3). For the convenience of applications we adduced the results in two ways: the classification list where only inequivalent equations are presented (Table 2) and the list with their most general forms (Table 3). Lie symmetries of the BBMB equations are not very reach. The maximal Lie invariance algebras of such equations are at most two-dimensional. Nevertheless, the derived group classification has revealed equations (1) that may be of more potential interest for applications and for which the classical Lie reduction method can be used. The classification of reductions was also performed as well as some exact solutions were constructed.

We note that each equation from the class (1) admits the "natural" conservation law with the constant characteristic $\lambda = 1$. The corresponding density and flux are

$$
F^1 = u, \quad G^1 = f(t)u + \frac{1}{2}g(t)u^2 + k(t)u_x + h(t)u_{tx}.
$$

For general admitted values of the arbitrary elements f, g, k, and h the associated space of conservation laws is one-dimensional. The interesting problem is to classify local conservation laws of equations from class (1) and to find those values of arbitrary elements for which the dimension of space of conservation laws will be higher. Conservation laws lead to auxiliary (potential) systems which admit Lie symmetries that might induce nonlocal (potential) symmetries of the class (1). The auxiliary system that corresponds to the above conservation law admits Lie symmetries that project into Lie symmetries of equations (1) and therefore do not induce nontrivial potential symmetries of these equations.

Acknowledgments

OV would like to thank the Department of Mathematics, FNSPE, Czech Technical University in Prague and the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Cyprus for the hospitality and support.

References

[1] C. Amick, J. Bona, and M. Schonbek. Decay of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 81(1):1 – 49, 1989.

[2] T. B. Benjamin, J. L. Bona, and J. J. Mahony. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 272(1220):47–78, 1972.

[3] M. S. Bruzón and M. L. Gandarias. Symmetry reductions and exact solutions of Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers equation. In *Group analysis of differential equations and integrable systems*, pages 45–61. University of Cyprus, 2009.

[4] W. I. Fushchich and A. G. Nikitin. *Symmetries of equations of quantum mechanics*. Allerton Press, Inc., New York, 1994.

[5] N. H. Ibragimov (ed). *CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations (Symmetries, Exact Solutions and Conservation Laws vol 1)*. Chemical Rubber Company, Boca Raton, 1994.

[6] J. G. Kingston and C. Sophocleous. On form-preserving point transformations of partial differential equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 31(6):1597–1619, 1998.

[7] V. Kumar, R. Gupta, and R. Jiwari. Painleve analysis, Lie symmetries and exact solutions for variable coefficients Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burger (BBMB) equation. *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, 60(2):175, 2013.

[8] O. Kuriksha, S. Pošta, and O. Vaneeva. Group classification of variable coefficient generalized Kawahara equations. *J. Phys. A*, 47(4):045201, 19, 2014.

[9] P. I. Naumkin. Large-time asymptotic behaviour of a step for the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers equation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, 126:1–18, 1 1996.

[10] P. J. Olver. *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 107. Springer–Verlag, New York, second edition edition, 1993.

[11] L. V. Ovsiannikov. *Group analysis of differential equations*. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1982.

[12] D. H. Peregrine. Calculations of the development of an undular bore. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 25(2):321–330, 1966.

[13] R. O. Popovych, M. Kunzinger, and H. Eshraghi. Admissible transformations and normalized classes of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 109(2):315–359, 2010.

[14] E. Pucci, G. Saccomandi, and R. Vitolo. Bogus transformations in mechanics of continua. *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.*, 99:13–21, 2016.

[15] O. Vaneeva, O. Kuriksha, and C. Sophocleous. Enhanced group classification of Gardner equations with time-dependent coefficients. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 22(1-3):1243–1251, 2015.

[16] O. O. Vaneeva, R. O. Popovych, and C. Sophocleous. Enhanced group analysis and exact solutions of variable coefficient semilinear diffusion equations with a power source. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 106(1):1–46, 2009.

[17] O. O. Vaneeva, R. O. Popovych, and C. Sophocleous. Group analysis of Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equations with time dependent coefficients. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 621:012016, 2015.